

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/651,181	08/30/2000	Todd A. Dickinson	A-68392-2/DJB/RMS/DCF	2424
7	590 05/20/2002			
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Suite 3400 Four Embarcadero Center			EXAMINER	
			CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634	_
			DATE MAIL ED. 05/20/2002	, <i>p</i> r

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/651,181	DICKINSON ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Arun Chakrabarti	1634
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE - Extended after - If the series of the	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo a, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed pirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.	
3)⊡ Disposi i	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
-	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
	tion Papers		
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamıner.	
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
а) All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in	Application No
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
,	a) The translation of the foreign language processes	rovisional application has	been received.
Attachme			
1) Not	cice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) commation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Detailed Action .

Application/Control Number: 09/651,181

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, 17-24, and 29-31, drawn to fiber optics, classified in class 385, subclass 901.1.
 - II. Claims 11-13, drawn to method of making fiber optic substrates, classified in class 65, subclass 376.
 - III. Claims 25-28, and 32-46, drawn to method of detecting analyte, classified in class435, subclass 6.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Groups I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of fiber optics of Group I and method of making fiber optic substrates of Group II are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.
- 3. Inventions of Groups I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the

Application/Control Number: 09/651,181

Art Unit: 1634

product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of detecting analyte of Group III can be practiced with fiber optics of Group II or by mass spectrometer or radioactive methods or by spectrophotometer.

- 4. Inventions of Groups II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of method of making fiber optic substrates of Group II are not disclosed as capable of use together with method of detecting analyte of Group III and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Robin Silva on May 15, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CAR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/651,181

Art Unit: 1634

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CAR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CAR 1.17(I).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7401.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Arun Chakrabarti,

Patent Examiner,

May 16, 2002

/ W. Gary Jones

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 Page 4